

1. May 27:
 - a. Motion to split the amendments over two years (2021 and 2022) (and authorize the Executive Committee to recommend which amendments go on which ballot).
 - b. “Kevin: To clarify, as part of the motion, we would be approving that we’re splitting it over two (possibly 3?) years.”
 - c. “Kyle (chat): **Have we established that the current Charter gives us this split up/extension authority?**” (Note that this question was not answered in the minutes of May 27.)
 - d. The motion carried with two abstentions (Tony and Kyle).
2. May 31:
 - a. **“Kevin: There could be challenges to the second ballot.”**
 - b. “David D asked if one or two batches is still on the table.”
 - c. “Kevin thinks it is.”
3. June 9:
 - a. “Kevin: Executive Committee could see what could be condensed and then consider if some could be dropped. Then come back with those recommendations to the full CRC. That would dictate whether one or two years.”
 - b. **“Sharon: Should we at this point discuss the situation we are now faced with about risking trying to put amendments on the 2022 ballot?”**
 - c. **“Kevin now thinks we should just do one ballot.”**
 - d. “Randy explained why he changed his advice to the CRC regarding splitting the amendments over two years.” (Note that the PA’s original advice to the CRC was that two ballots were acceptable.)
 - e. “Kevin: Randy’s memo didn’t tell us we can’t do this – suggested taking a more conservative approach. **There is risk in doing what we planned on doing with two ballots.**”
4. June 16:
 - a. “Kevin: Short slide presentation on Executive Committee proposal for amendments and recommendations.”
 - b. “Bob: Don’t submit the 2022 ballot amendments until after the 2021 deadline.”
 - c. **“Kevin: We are moving forward with two ballot measures, 2021 & 2022.”**
5. June 23: “Kevin nervous about adding amendments. Asked Kathryn to make sure the subject of two vs. one year is on the agenda for the June 30 meeting. **It’s very clear to him that legally we can go two years.** We may not need two years – his hope is that we won’t need it. Next week we’ll be going over final language, but Megan will then need more time to finish the entire document (revised Charter).”
6. June 30: “5. **Discuss ballot measures – all in 2021 or split some to 2022? Kevin: Not sure we’re ready to have this discussion** because we’re not sure where our amendments are. Dick: We can have the discussion because enough things are already either recommendations or they’re going to disappear. Are we willing to gamble or not is the question. **No final decision was made.**”
7. July 7: **“5. Evaluate whether one or two ballots: Not discussed at this meeting”**

8. July 12:

- a. "Motion by Tony, seconded by Tom Starr, to declare that the work of the 2021 SJC CRC is finished upon delivery of the final amendments' recommendations to the SJC Council during the council's July 13th 2021 meeting. Pursuant to SJC Charter Sections 9.32 and 9.20 (1) (e). Motion failed."
- b. "Kevin will be presenting six amendments as our first batch of amendments to the SJCC on Tuesday, July 13. **He will also tell the SJCC that we will be presenting our second batch of amendments on a date to be determined.**"

Tony Ghazel's motion (see above) declaring the work of the CRC to be done upon submission of proposed amendments for the next November ballot is discussed in some detail in his minority report <https://www.sanjuanico.com/DocumentCenter/View/24194/CRC-July-12-meeting-reflections---Commissioner-Ghazel?bidId=>).